Exhibit 20

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

X	QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
	EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Quarterly Period Ended June 30, 2017

☐ TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _ to _.

Commission File Number 001-01011



CVS HEALTH CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware (State of Incorporation)

05-0494040 (I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

One CVS Drive, Woonsocket, Rhode Island 02895 (Address of principal executive offices)

(induces of principal executive offices)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (401) 765-1500

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes \boxtimes No \square

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T ($\S232.405$ of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes \boxtimes No \square

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, smaller reporting company, or an emerging growth company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," "smaller reporting company," and "emerging growth company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer ⊠	Accelerated filer □	
Non-accelerated filer □ (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)	Smaller reporting company \square	
	Emerging growth company \square	
If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act. \Box		
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as de $\ensuremath{\boxtimes}$	fined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes \square No	
Common Stock, \$0.01 par value, issued and outstanding at August 1, 2017: 1,016,564,728 shares		

Table of Contents

documents and information in connection with a False Claims Act investigation concerning whether the Company's retail pharmacies improperly submitted certain insulin claims to Medicare Part D rather than Part B. The Company has been cooperating with the government and providing documents and information in response to the Civil Investigative Demand.

- Cold Chain Logistics CID. In September 2016, the Company received from the DOJ a Civil Investigative
 Demand in connection with an investigation as to whether the Company's handling of certain temperaturesensitive pharmaceuticals violates the federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act and the False Claims Act. The
 Company has been cooperating with the government and providing documents and information in response
 to the Civil Investigative Demand.
- Amburgey et al. v. CaremarkPCS Health, L.L.C. (U.S. District Court for the Central District of California). In
 March 2017, the Company was served with a complaint challenging the policies and procedures used by
 CVS Specialty pharmacies to ship temperature-sensitive medications. The case is similar to a matter already
 pending against the Company in the Superior Court of California (Los Angeles County), Bertram v.
 Immunex Corp., et al., which was filed in October 2014. The Company is defending these lawsuits.
- Barnett et al. v. Novo Nordisk Inc., et al. and Boss, et al. v. CVS Health Corporation, et al. (both pending in the U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey). These putative class actions were filed against the Company and other PBMs and manufacturers of insulin in March 2017. Plaintiffs in both cases allege that the PBMs and manufacturers have engaged in a conspiracy whereby the PBMs sell access to their formularies by demanding the highest rebates, which in turn causes increased list prices for insulin. The primary claims are antitrust claims, claims under the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act ("RICO"), violations of state unfair competition and consumer protection laws and in Boss, claims pursuant to the Employee Retirement Income Security Act ("ERISA"). The Barnett plaintiffs seek to represent a nationwide class of all persons who paid any portion of the purchase prices for a prescription for certain insulin products at a price calculated by reference to a benchmark. The Boss plaintiffs purport to represent multiple nationwide classes including a non-ERISA Employee/Exchange Plan class, an ERISA class, a Medicare class and an uninsured class. The Company continues to defend these lawsuits.
- Insulin Products Investigation. In April 2017, the Company separately received a Civil Investigative Demand from the Attorney General of Washington, seeking documents and information regarding pricing and rebates for insulin products in connection with a pending investigation into unfair and deceptive acts or practice regarding insulin pricing. We have been notified by the Office of the Attorney General of Washington that information provided in response to the Civil Investigative Demand will be shared with the Attorneys General of California, Florida and Minnesota. In July 2017, the Company received a Civil Investigative Demand from the Attorney General of Minnesota, seeking documents and information regarding pricing and rebates for insulin and epinephrine products in connection with a pending investigation into unfair and deceptive acts or practices regarding insulin and epinephrine pricing.
- Bewley et al. v. CVS Health Corporation, et al. and Prescott, et al. v. CVS Health Corporation, et al. (both pending in the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Washington). These putative class actions were filed in May 2017 against the Company and other pharmacy benefit managers and manufacturers of glucagon kits (Bewley) and diabetes test strips (Prescott). Both cases allege that, by contracting for rebates with the manufacturers of these diabetes products, the Company and other PBMs caused list prices for these products to increase, thereby harming certain consumers. The primary claims are made under federal antitrust laws, RICO, state unfair competition and consumer protection laws, and ERISA. The Company is defending these class action lawsuits.
- Klein, et al. v. Prime Therapeutics, et al. (U.S. District Court for the District of Minnesota). In June 2017, a putative class action complaint was filed against the Company and other pharmacy benefit managers on behalf of ERISA plan members who purchased and paid for EpiPen or EpiPen Jr. Plaintiffs allege that the pharmacy benefit managers are ERISA fiduciaries to plan members and have violated ERISA by allegedly causing higher inflated prices for EpiPen through the process of negotiating increased rebates from EpiPen manufacturer, Mylan. The Company is defending these class action lawsuits.